

## **Data-Intensive Distributed Computing**

## CS 431/631 451/651 (Winter 2019)

## Part 4: Analyzing Graphs (1/2) February 5, 2019

Adam Roegiest Kira Systems

These slides are available at http://roegiest.com/bigdata-2019w/



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 United States See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/us/ for details

# Structure of the Course



"Core" framework features and algorithm design

# What's a graph?

G = (V,E), where

V represents the set of vertices (nodes) E represents the set of edges (links) Edges may be directed or undirected Both vertices and edges may contain additional information



# **Examples of Graphs**

Hyperlink structure of the web Physical structure of computers on the Internet Interstate highway system Social networks

We're mostly interested in sparse graphs!

#### KONINGSBERGA









Source: Wikipedia (Kaliningrad)

# Some Graph Problems

Finding shortest paths Routing Internet traffic and UPS trucks Finding minimum spanning trees Telco laying down fiber

> Finding max flow Airline scheduling

Identify "special" nodes and communities Halting the spread of avian flu

**Bipartite matching** 

match.com

Web ranking

PageRank

# What makes graphs hard?

Irregular structure Fun with data structures!

Irregular data access patterns Fun with architectures!

> Iterations Fun with optimizations!

# Graphs and MapReduce (and Spark)

A large class of graph algorithms involve: Local computations at each node Propagating results: "traversing" the graph

Key questions:

How do you represent graph data in MapReduce (and Spark)? How do you traverse a graph in MapReduce (and Spark)?

# **Representing Graphs**

Adjacency matrices Adjacency lists Edge lists

# **Adjacency Matrices**

## Represent a graph as an $n \ge n$ square matrix M n = |V| $M_{ij} = 1$ iff an edge from vertex i to j

	1	2	3	4
1	0	1	0	1
2	1	0	1	1
3	1	0	0	0
4	1	0	1	0



# Adjacency Matrices: Critique

#### Advantages

Amenable to mathematical manipulation Intuitive iteration over rows and columns

#### Disadvantages

Lots of wasted space (for sparse matrices) Easy to write, hard to compute

# **Adjacency Lists**

Take adjacency matrix... and throw away all the zeros

	1	2	3	4	
1	0	1	0	1	1: 2, 4
2	1	0	1	1	2:1,3,
3	1	0	0	0	3:1
4	1	0	1	0	4. I, J

Wait, where have we seen this before?

# Adjacency Lists: Critique

#### Advantages

Much more compact representation (compress!) Easy to compute over outlinks

> Disadvantages Difficult to compute over inlinks

# Edge Lists

Explicitly enumerate all edges



# Edge Lists: Critique

## Advantages Easily support edge insertions

Disadvantages Wastes spaces

# **Graph Partitioning**



(A lot more detail later...)

## Storing Undirected Graphs Standard Tricks

#### 1. Store both edges Make sure your algorithm de-dups

2. Store one edge, e.g., (*x*, *y*) st. *x* < *y* Make sure your algorithm handles the asymmetry

# **Basic Graph Manipulations**

Invert the graph flatMap and regroup

Adjacency lists to edge lists flatMap adjacency lists to emit tuples

Edge lists to adjacency lists groupBy

Framework does all the heavy lifting!

#### Arzeitanes Blacharen Magneres Blacharen Magneres Blacharen Manne Joharen Manne Joharen Mine Joharen Manne Johare



Source: http://bost.ocks.org/mike/miserables/

# Co-occurrence and the second s



뒁

Magloi

pmathi

Di

in E

Source: http://bost.ocks.org/mike/miserables/

## Co-occurrence of characters in Les Misérables



# What does the web look like?

Analysis of a large webgraph from the common crawl: 3.5 billion pages, 129 billion links Meusel et al. Graph Structure in the Web — Revisited. WWW 2014.

## Broder's Bowtie (2000) – revisited



## What does the web look like? Very roughly, a scale-free network

Fraction of k nodes having k connections:

$$P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$$

(i.e., degree distribution follows a power law)







Figure from: Newman, M. E. J. (2005) "Power laws, Pareto distributions and Zipf's law." Contemporary Physics 46:323–351.



Figure from: Seth A. Myers, Aneesh Sharma, Pankaj Gupta, and Jimmy Lin. Information Network or Social Network? The Structure of the Twitter Follow Graph. WWW 2014.

## What does the web look like? Very roughly, a scale-free network

Other Examples: Internet domain routers Co-author network Citation network Movie-Actor network



## (In this installment of "learn fancy terms for simple ideas") **Preferential Attachment**

## Also: Matthew Effect

For unto every one that hath shall be given, and he shall have abundance: but from him that hath not shall be taken even that which he hath.

- Matthew 25:29, King James Version.

## BTW, how do we compute these graphs?

# Count.

Source: http://www.flickr.com/photos/guvnah/7861418602/

## BTW, how do we extract the webgraph? The webgraph... is big?!

A few tricks: Integerize vertices (montone minimal perfect hashing) Sort URLs Integer compression

webgraph from the common crawl: 3.5 billion pages, 129 billion links Meusel et al. Graph Structure in the Web — Revisited. WWW 2014. 58 GB!

# Graphs and MapReduce (and Spark)

A large class of graph algorithms involve: Local computations at each node Propagating results: "traversing" the graph

Key questions:

How do you represent graph data in MapReduce (and Spark)? How do you traverse a graph in MapReduce (and Spark)?

# Single-Source Shortest Path

Problem: find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost

First, a refresher: Dijkstra's Algorithm...



Example from CLR



Example from CLR









Example from CLR

# Single-Source Shortest Path

Problem: find shortest path from a source node to one or more target nodes Shortest might also mean lowest weight or cost

Single processor machine: Dijkstra's Algorithm MapReduce: parallel breadth-first search (BFS)

# Finding the Shortest Path

Consider simple case of equal edge weights

Solution to the problem can be defined inductively: Define: *b* is reachable from *a* if *b* is on adjacency list of *a* DISTANCETO(*s*) = 0 For all nodes *p* reachable from *s*, DISTANCETO(*p*) = 1 For all nodes *n* reachable from some other set of nodes *M*, DISTANCETO(*n*) = 1 + min(DISTANCETO(*m*),  $m \in M$ )



Source: Wikipedia (Wave)

# Visualizing Parallel BFS



# From Intuition to Algorithm

Data representation:

Key: node *n* Value: *d* (distance from start), adjacency list Initialization: for all nodes except for start node,  $d = \infty$ 

#### Mapper:

 $\forall m \in adjacency \ list: emit \ (m, d + 1)$ Remember to also emit distance to yourself

## Sort/Shuffle:

Groups distances by reachable nodes

## Reducer:

Selects minimum distance path for each reachable node Additional bookkeeping needed to keep track of actual path

# **Multiple Iterations Needed**

Each MapReduce iteration advances the "frontier" by one hop Subsequent iterations include more reachable nodes as frontier expands Multiple iterations are needed to explore entire graph

Preserving graph structure:

Problem: Where did the adjacency list go? Solution: mapper emits (*n*, adjacency list) as well

# **BFS Pseudo-Code**

```
class Mapper {
 def map(id: Long, n: Node) = {
  emit(id, n) // emit graph structure
  val d = n.distance
  emit(id, d)
  for (m <- n.adjacencyList) {</pre>
   emit(m, d+1)
  }
}
class Reducer {
 def reduce(id: Long, objects: Iterable[Object]) = {
  var min = infinity
  var n = null
  for (d <- objects) {
   if (isNode(d)) n = d
   else if d < \min \min = d
  n.distance = min
  emit(id, n)
 }
```

# Stopping Criterion

(equal edge weight)

How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS?

Convince yourself: when a node is first "discovered", we've found the shortest path

What does it have to do with six degrees of separation?

Practicalities of MapReduce implementation...

# **Implementation Practicalities**



# **Comparison to Dijkstra**

#### Dijkstra's algorithm is more efficient

At each step, only pursues edges from minimum-cost path inside frontier

## MapReduce explores all paths in parallel Lots of "waste" Useful work is only done at the "frontier"

Why can't we do better using MapReduce?

# Single Source: Weighted Edges

Now add positive weights to the edges Simple change: add weight w for each edge in adjacency list

Simple change: add weight w for each edge in adjacency list In mapper, emit  $(m, d + w_p)$  instead of (m, d + 1) for each node m

## That's it?

# **Stopping Criterion**

(positive edge weight)

How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS?

Convince yourself: when a node is first "discovered", we've found the shortest path Not true!

# **Additional Complexities**





# **Stopping Criterion**

(positive edge weight)

How many iterations are needed in parallel BFS?

Practicalities of MapReduce implementation...

